

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,277	05/27/2005	Yoshio Onoda	086590-0012	2231
20277 MCDERMOT	7590 10/28/2010 T WILL & EMERY LLI	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STI	REET, N.W.		WALKER, NED ANDREW	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,277	ONODA, YOSHIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NED A. WALKER	3781		

	NED A. WALKER	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \)\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[</li></ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FINOT KEFLT WAS FI	LED WITHIN 14VO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period cut under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second process.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.57(a).	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	ΓE below);	
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 20-22 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-17. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_
13. \[ \] Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the Drawings and Specification that identify the particular part "top face 100" and the amendment to the Specification reciting "the cap 10 contacts the containier above the seam part and below the top face" constitute new matter as there was no support in the originally field application for these added limitations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection under 35 USC 112, 35 USC 102(b), and 35 USC 103(a) are affirmed for the reasons previously presented in the Final Rejection issued August 17th, 2010.